IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v. Criminal No. 3:19-MJ-99

ELIZABETH JO SHIRLEY,

Defendant.

UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR PRETRIAL DETENTION

The United States moves for pretrial detention of defendant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 3142(e) and (f).

1.	Eligibility	of Case. This case is eligible for a detention order because the case	
involves (c	heck all the	apply):	
		crime of violence, a violation of section 1591, or an offense listed in ection 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of years or more is prescribed;	f 10
		n offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or de	ath
		n offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or nore is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et eq.), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 951 eq.), or chapter 705 of title 46;	
		ny felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses lescribed in subparagraphs (A) through (C) of Title 18 U.S.C. Section 142(f)(1), or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (A) through (C) of this paragraph ircumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a ombination of such offenses; or	1
	X	ny felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minimization or that involves the possession or use of a firearm or destructive levice (as those terms are defined in section 921), or any other dangeroveapon, or involves a failure to register under section 2250 of title 18,	e ous

<u>X</u>	United States Code; Serious risk defendant will flee;			
<u>X</u>	Serious risk obstruction of justice			
2. Reason fo	or Detention. The court should detain defendant because there are no			
conditions of release	which will reasonably assure (check one or both):			
<u>X</u>	Defendant's appearance as required			
<u>X</u>	Safety of any other person and the community			
3. Rebuttabl	e Presumption.			
A rebuttable p	presumption arises that no condition or combination of conditions will			
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because:				
	a) the defendant has been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in Title 18 U.S.C. Section 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been an offense described in subsection (f)(1) of this section if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; b) the offense was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and c) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for that offense whichever is later.			
A rebuttable p	presumption arises that that no condition or combination of conditions will			
reasonably assure the	appearance of the person as required and the safety of the community			
because the defendan	t committed:			
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 951 et seq.), or chapter 705 of title 46;			
	an offense under section 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b of this title;			
	an offense listed in section 2332b(g)(5)(B) of title 18, United States Code, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;			
	an offense under chapter 77 of this title for which a maximum term of			

imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
an offense involving a minor victim under section 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425 of this title.
4. Time for Detention Hearing. The United States requests the court conduct the
detention hearing
At first appearance
X After continuance of 3 days (not more than 3)
5. Other Matters:
DATED this 2 nd day of April, 2020.
Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, WILLIAM J. POWELL UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/ Jarod J. Douglas Jarod J. Douglas Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jarod J. Douglas, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of West Virginia, hereby certify that on the 2nd day of April 2020, the foregoing UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR PRETRIAL DETENTION was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to following counsel of record:

Edward B. MacMahon, Jr., Esq. 107 E. Washington Street Middleburg, VA 20117 Counsel for Defendant

Nicholas J. Compton, Esq. Assistant Federal Public Defender MVB Bank Building 651 Foxcroft Avenue, Ste. 202 Martinsburg, WV 25401 Counsel for Defendant

/s/ Jarod J. Douglas

Jarod J. Douglas
Assistant United States Attorney